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Abstract. The interior of western Canada, like many similar cold mid- to high-latitude regions worldwide, is undergoing extensive and rapid climate and environmental change, which may accelerate in the coming decades. Understanding and predicting changes in coupled climate–land–hydrological systems are crucial to society yet limited by lack of understanding of changes in cold-region process responses and interactions, along with their representation in most current-generation land-surface and hydrological models. It is essential to consider the underlying processes and base predictive models on the proper physics, especially under conditions of non-stationarity where the past is no longer a reliable guide to the future and system trajectories can be unexpected. These challenges were forefront in the recently completed Changing Cold Regions Network (CCRN), which assembled and focused a wide range of multi-disciplinary expertise to improve the understanding, diagnosis, and prediction of change over the cold interior of western Canada. CCRN advanced knowledge of fundamental cold-region ecological and hydrological processes through observation and experimentation across a network of highly instrumented research basins and other sites. Significant efforts were made to improve the functionality and process representation, based on this improved understanding, within the fine-scale Cold Regions Hydrological Modelling (CRHM) platform and the large-scale Modélisation Environnementale Communautaire (MEC) – Surface and Hydrology (MESH) model. These models were, and continue to be, applied under past and projected future climates and under current and expected future land and vegetation cover configurations to diagnose historical change and predict possible future hydrological responses. This second of two articles synthesizes the nature and understanding of cold-region processes and Earth system responses to future climate, as advanced by CCRN. These include changing precipitation and moisture feedbacks to the atmosphere; altered snow regimes, changing balance of snowfall and rainfall, and glacier loss; vegetation responses to climate and the loss of ecosystem resilience to wildfire and disturbance; thawing permafrost and its influence on landscapes and hydrology; groundwater storage and cycling and its connections to surface water; and stream and river discharge as influenced by the various drivers of hydrological change. Collective insights, expert elicitation, and model application are used to provide a synthesis of this change over the CCRN region for the late 21st century.

1 Introduction and objective

The interior of western Canada is a region undergoing rapid, widespread, and severe hydro-climatic and environmental change. This region is emblematic of the scientific and societal challenges in cold regions around the world where snow, ice, and frozen soils dominate water-cycling processes. Parts of western and northern Canada have experienced some of the highest rates of climate warming anywhere in the world (IPCC, 2013; Bush and Lemmen, 2019), and there have been systematic patterns of change in climate regime and cryospheric response (DeBeer et al., 2016), including a shift in the phase of precipitation (P) toward more rain and less snow, earlier snowmelt and decreasing extent, duration, and maximum depth of seasonal snow cover, retreating glaciers, warming and thawing permafrost, declining ice cover period on lakes and rivers, and an earlier spring freshet. Against this backdrop of change, western Canada has been subjected to a series of recent, and in some instances record-breaking, extreme events such as floods, droughts, and wildfires. Human interventions and land and water management have also affected the environment and river systems, with infrastructure developments such as dams, diversions, and irrigation networks, along with industrialization, agricultural development, and urbanization, thereby altering natural ecosystems and water cycling. Future projections of warmer climate, altered P phase and patterns, and more extreme events (Bush and Lemmen, 2019; Stewart et al., 2019), together with increasing human pressures, indicate that the region will continue to undergo rapid change to conditions never before experienced, posing difficult management and decision-making challenges (e.g., Razavi et al., 2020).

Improved understanding and prediction of the changes in coupled climate–land–hydrological systems are crucial for managing land and water systems and informing governance and policy direction here and in other similar regions globally. The processes of change in cold regions are manifold and complex, and there is significant uncertainty with the prediction of future change. Often, modelling and projections of hydrological change are based on over-simplistic or empirical approaches and models that fail to adequately capture the interconnected process drivers and responses. It is unclear to what extent the model structures and parameterizations are valid under highly non-stationary conditions and hence whether the results are meaningful under future climates and land and vegetation cover states. There has been much speculation about how cold regions will change, but, in many cases, this has not been based on appropriate process understanding, which is itself limited.

These issues and challenges were forefront in the goals of the recently completed Changing Cold Regions Network (CCRN, http://www.ccrnetwork.ca/, last access: 6 April 2021), described by DeBeer et al. (2015, 2016) and Stewart et al. (2019). CCRN aimed to integrate existing and new sources of data with improved predictive and observational tools to understand, diagnose, and predict interactions amongst the cryospheric, ecological, hydrological, and climatic components of the changing Earth system at multiple scales. Its specific geographic focus has been on the cold in-
terior of western Canada, and in particular, the two major river systems of the region – the Saskatchewan and Mackenzie River Basins (Fig. 1). The overall science objectives of CCRN were to

1. document and evaluate observed Earth system change, including hydrological, ecological, cryospheric, and atmospheric components over a range of scales from local observatories to biome and regional scales;

2. improve understanding and diagnosis of local-scale change by developing new and integrative knowledge of Earth system processes, incorporating these processes into a suite of process-based integrative models and using the models to better understand Earth system change;

3. improve large-scale atmospheric and hydrological models for river basin-scale modelling and prediction to better account for the changing Earth system and its atmospheric feedbacks; and

4. analyze and predict regional and large-scale variability and change, focusing on the governing factors for the observed trends and variability in large-scale aspects of the Earth system and their representation in current models and the projections of regional-scale effects of Earth system change on climate, land and water resources.

Key to the success of the network was the ability to observe and diagnose change across the region and hence provide a platform of data (e.g., see https://essd.copernicus.org/articles/special_issue901.html, last access: 6 April 2021) and scientific insights to inform model development and application for the analysis and prediction of change. A multiscale observatory was developed, based where possible on existing experimental sites with historical data records (Fig. 1), and this formed the heart of the program, enabling process responses and interactions to be monitored across the different ecological regions and at the scales of small river basins and major river systems. In conjunction with the experimental and observational program, modelling research aimed at improving the capability of fine- and large-scale models to represent key cold-region processes and to diagnose the complex and interacting factors underlying the observed changes over the CCRN region. Finally, these models have begun to be used, in conjunction with expert elicitation, to examine likely future system trajectories for the purposes of informing management and policy and addressing other stakeholder concerns. In doing so, CCRN assembled and focused a wide range and depth of multi-disciplinary expertise to address the network’s aims and to develop insights into the process controls across the CCRN domain.

This article draws together the expert understanding and process insights from CCRN, together with modelling results at different scales, to examine the key drivers of change and to highlight the most likely anticipated future system trajectories across the interior of western Canada. This follows Part 1 (Stewart et al., 2019), which synthesized CCRN’s collective assessments of future climate conditions and the associated seasonal patterns, along with particular P- and temperature-related phenomena. The specific objective of this second article is to illustrate how these changes in the climate system will manifest as changes in land and vegetation cover, cryospheric states, and hydrological cycling.

The article is organized as follows: Sect. 2 provides a brief overview of CCRN’s geographic domain and the two major river basins. Section 3 examines a number of different cold-region processes, their interactions and responses to climate, and their influence on water cycling. This highlights complexities that most Earth system models fail to capture. Section 4 briefly describes the advancements in fine-scale and large-scale process-based hydrological models during CCRN, along with their application for the diagnosis and prediction of change, while Sect. 5 provides a synthesis of this change over the CCRN region for the 21st century. Section 6 provides concluding remarks and identifies knowledge gaps for further research.

2 Ecological regions and river systems of the interior of western Canada

The interior of western Canada spans a wide range of climatic, ecological, and physiographic regions (Fig. 1) and has many of the physical attributes common to cold regions worldwide (Woo et al., 2008). This includes extensive areas of permafrost and seasonally frozen ground, snow, and ice cover through a large part of the year and water cycling that is driven largely by seasonal patterns of energy availability. The principal river systems include the Saskatchewan and Mackenzie Rivers and their respective 406 000 km² and 1.8 million km² drainage basins (Fig. 1). These encompass Prairie, Boreal (including Taiga), Tundra, and Cordillera landscapes (CEC, 1997).

The Saskatchewan River originates in the Rocky Mountains of Alberta and Montana and flows through the province of Saskatchewan and into Manitoba, discharging into Lake Winnipeg. Most of the flow originates in the mountains, which provide roughly 80 % of the total discharge (Pomeroy et al., 2005). The basin is mostly situated within the Prairies, a key agricultural region, and the Boreal Plain; the transition between these ecological regions is dynamic and largely coincides with an annual water balance threshold where P equals potential evapotranspiration (PET), with a moisture surplus to the north and a deficit to the south (Ireson et al., 2015). In the southern and central portions of the basin, part of the Palliser Triangle, the climate is among the most arid in Canada, with annual P as low as 350 mm or less (Szeto, 2007; Roussin and Binyamin, 2018). The landscape is mostly post-glacial topography, with large numbers of small depres-
The CCRN study domain across the interior of western Canada is indicated in the inset map. Land cover and physiography are depicted by the Level II Ecological Regions of North America, with the naming convention and symbology of CEC (1997). The panels on the right show (a) January mean air temperature, (b) July mean air temperature, and (c) annual total precipitation. The locations of CCRN Water, Ecosystem, Cryosphere, and Climate (WECC) observatories are indicated by circles: (1) Columbia Icefield, (2) Marmot Creek, (3) Peyto Glacier, (4) Lake O’Hara, (5) Wolf Creek, (6) Brintnell-Bologna Icefield, (7) Boreal Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Sites (BERMS), (8) St. Denis National Wildlife Area, (9) Brightwater Creek/Kenaston Mesonet Site, (10) West Nose Creek, (11) Trail Valley Creek, (12) Havikpak Creek, (13) Scotty Creek, (14) Baker Creek. Source data are from the North American Environmental Atlas (http://www.cec.org/north-american-environmental-atlas/, last access: 6 April 2021), the National Hydro Network (http://www.geobase.ca, last access: 6 April 2021), WorldClim Global Climate Data (http://worldclim.org/version2, last access: 6 April 2021), and the Commission for Environmental Cooperation (http://www.cec.org, last access: 6 April 2021).

Approximately 40%–50% of the basin does not contribute to river flows, with large-scale connectivity only developing in exceptionally wet conditions, and only a very small percentage (~1%) of the flow in the main river originates within Saskatchewan (Martz et al., 2007). The Prairie climate leads to large variability in local water flows and storages, as for example seen in the extreme drought of 1999–2004 (Hanesiak et al., 2011) and the high water levels and floods of the following decade (Dumanski et al., 2015; Szeto et al., 2015). Numerous environmental, societal, and management challenges exist in the Saskatchewan River Basin (Wheater and Gober, 2013; Gober and Wheater, 2014), and the South Saskatchewan River has been described as Canada’s most threatened river (WWF, 2009). Irrigation is the dominant consumptive use of water, and despite Canada’s reputation as a water-rich country, water resources are fully allocated in southern Alberta. Dam storage and hydropower development have caused major changes in the seasonal flow regime, impacting the habitats of the 10,000 km² Saskatchewan Delta, located at the Saskatchewan–Manitoba border.

The Mackenzie River drains about 20% of the Canadian land mass, spanning parts of British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, and the Yukon and Northwest Territories, and is the single largest North American source of freshwater to the Arctic Ocean (Stewart et al., 1998; Rouse et al., 2003; Woo et al., 2008; WWF, 2009). The Mackenzie River has a number of major tributary rivers, including the Athabasca, Peace, and Liard Rivers, as well as other smaller tributaries; overall, mountainous western parts of the basin collectively provide about 60% of the total flow (Woo et al., 2008). There...
are three major deltas: the Peace–Athabasca, the Slave, and the Mackenzie, which host diverse ecosystems. The basin covers large areas of Boreal and Taiga Forest, with relatively low relief and underlain by glacial plains in the south and southwest and by the Precambrian Shield with slightly more undulating topography in the east (Woo and Rouse, 2008).

Much of the central and northern part of the basin is underlain by discontinuous and continuous permafrost, which is thawing at an accelerating rate (Burn and Kokelj, 2009; Baltzer et al., 2014). In the plains region, the basin includes several very large lakes, and a large portion of the area is covered by smaller lakes and wetlands (Woo et al., 2008). Climate conditions are cool, with considerable intra- and inter-annual variability in air temperature, and the region is a source area for cold, continental air masses (Szeto et al., 2008). The basin is a globally important resource that affects the welfare of people throughout the Western Hemisphere and globally, yet the ecological, hydrological, and climatological regimes are changing rapidly and are threatened by global warming and human impacts (RIFWP, 2013). While the majority of the river basin is largely undisturbed, local impacts on river flows and ecosystems arise in the headwaters due to operation of the Bennett Dam on the Peace River, and in downstream areas, for instance, due to operations of the Athabasca oil sands.

Over this region, past changes in stream and river discharge have exhibited a trend towards earlier spring freshet and river ice breakup and an increase in winter discharge in many northern basins (DeBeer et al., 2016). Other changes have included increasing importance of rainfall in generating flood events (Dumanski et al., 2015; Burn and Whitfield, 2016), a shift in flood regime along the continuum from snowmelt to more mixed and rainfall-driven regimes (Burn and Whitfield, 2018), and, in spite of warming spring air temperatures, delayed spring streamflow in some areas of the southern Arctic (Shi et al., 2015). Naturalized flows (after accounting for the changes due to reservoir operations and water withdrawals) of the South Saskatchewan River have exhibited a steady decline since the early 20th century, with late summer volumes declining at a greater rate than the annual discharge (Pomeroy et al., 2009). Flows in the Mackenzie River since the early 1970s have shown a shift in timing of peak flows of several days, an increase in maximum discharge of about 3000 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$, and a rise in winter base flows (Yang et al., 2015).

3 Process interactions, changes, and their influence on water cycling

Field-based observations and experimentation across the network of WECC observatories (Fig. 1) and at other sites have provided key insights into process interactions and responses. Here we summarize these insights for several important hydrological and ecological processes.

3.1 Precipitation recycling and evapotranspiration

$P$ and evapotranspiration (ET) are important terms in the water cycle, and even minor shifts in their relative magnitudes can have critical impacts on surface water availability, streamflow, and groundwater storage. Recent changes in $P$ over western Canada have shown regional and seasonal variations, with annual and winter increases in volume in the north and more significant winter decreases in the southern interior (Vincent et al., 2015; DeBeer et al., 2016). Pervasive warming has led to notable declines in the fraction of winter $P$ falling as snow (Vincent et al., 2015; Dumanski et al., 2015). Historical variations and patterns of ET in western Canada have shown mixed trends, in part, due to the challenges with measurement, data availability, and modelling of ET (Mortsch et al., 2015). ET is affected by many variables, including precipitation, air temperature, surface and soil moisture availability, net radiation, wind speed, humidity, and vegetation characteristics. Thus, it is spatially highly variable over heterogeneous landscapes and is sensitive to changing climate and to land cover change (Zha et al., 2010). Changes in $P$ amount and character are controlled to a considerable degree by global- and continental-scale conditions and their influence on regional circulation, air mass characteristics, and smaller-scale variability. These changes are presented and discussed by Stewart et al. (2019). Some further consideration of interactions at the surface and land-atmosphere feedbacks that affect local $P$ processes is presented here.

Regional moisture recycling between $P$ and ET is prevalent and provides a significant portion of the warm-season $P$ across much of the Saskatchewanan and Mackenzie River Basins (Szeto, 2007; Szeto et al., 2008). It represents an important mechanism of moisture transport, in some instances leading to intense rainfall and flooding (Li et al., 2017), and may have an important role in sustaining wet (or dry) conditions on seasonal to inter-annual timescales. For example, there are important feedbacks between ET and $P$, where an increase in $P$ is likely to increase ET, but the increase in $P$ itself could also be a result of increasing land ET and stronger moisture recycling (Trenberth, 1999; Dirmeyer et al., 2009).

In future, under a warming climate, earlier disappearance of the seasonal snow cover will act to increase regional ET in spring as a result of the reduction in surface albedo, increase in net radiation to the ground surface, increase in overall surface temperature, thaw of frozen ground, and increase in exposure of wet soils. Shorter ice cover duration, especially in more northern lakes, will lead to increased lake evaporation and will therefore also play an important role in providing local moisture sources to downwind regions. These effects, together with earlier onset of ET from vegetation as a result of changes in the timing of leaf emergence, will enhance local atmospheric moisture supply in spring, possibly further enhancing the projected increase in March–April–May $P$ (see Fig. 5 of Stewart et al., 2019). Later freeze-up in the fall can
have similar effects, producing more lake effect snowfall, for example. Kurkute et al. (2020) simulated future changes in \( P \) and ET over the Saskatchewan and Mackenzie River Basins using a pseudo global warming (PGW) approach with a high-resolution (4 km) Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (Li et al., 2019). Under the Representative Concentration Pathway 8.5 W m\(^{-2} \) (RCP8.5) radiative forcing scenario, their results show increases in \( P \), ET, and moisture recycling in both basins for the late 21st century (2085–2100) relative to their control period (2000–2015) but with considerable seasonal and spatial variations (Fig. 2). In the early spring (March and April), increases in \( P \) are projected to exceed increases in ET, leading to increasing snowpacks and/or soil moisture, but by May, the earlier snowmelt and increased atmospheric evaporative demand lead to greater increases in ET compared to \( P \) and drying of soils over much of the Prairies and Boreal Forest of western Canada (see Figs. 9 and 10 of Kurkute et al., 2020). This pattern continues into summer, and by July and August, simulated future \( P \) decreases in these parts of the region (most of the Saskatchewan River Basin), due in part to the decrease in soil moisture and surface water availability in the antecedent spring months. Although there is a simulated increase in moisture recycling in the warm season, the excess of ET over \( P \) is associated with an increase in atmospheric moisture divergence (i.e., transport out of the region).

Changes in ET also occur as a consequence of land cover and vegetation changes. Vegetation cover in turn is influenced by soil moisture (which is controlled by topographic position and surficial geology) but also by disturbance and succession dynamics (Ireson et al., 2015). The main vegetative controls on ET include leaf and canopy characteristics (vegetation height, LAI, leaf shape, stomatal behavior) and rooting depth and dynamics (Zha et al., 2010; Black and Jassal, 2016; Nazarbaksh et al., 2020). Margolis and Ryan (1997) showed that, due to physiological limitations to transpiration in Boreal needleleaf trees, they have much lower ET rates than deciduous species, even when soil water is abundant. This is consistent with observations at the Boreal Ecosystem Research and Monitoring Sites (BERMS) flux towers (Fig. 1, site 7), showing a mature aspen stand with higher ET than a mature black spruce, which had higher ET than a jack pine stand (Fig. 3). Kljun et al. (2006) attributed these differences to a combination of type of tree species, topography, and soil type. Very young forest stands have also been shown to have much lower rates of ET than older stands (Granger and Pomeroy, 1997). Thus, shifts in Boreal Forest composition and structure, from coniferous to deciduous or mixed wood, or from black spruce to jack pine (discussed in Sect. 3.4 below), will have potentially large but species-specific effects on regional ET.

In the northern parts of the CCRN region, thaw-induced landscape change (Sect. 3.5) and expansion of shrubs (Sect. 3.4) are among the key drivers of changes in ET. Increasing thaw depth and shrinkage of permafrost-underlain areas impact growth and physiological processes of the trees through drying of the rooting zone, driving decreases in the productivity of black spruce-dominated sub-Arctic forests and reduction of sap flow and ET (Patankar et al., 2015; Sniderhan and BALTzer, 2016). At the same time, however, the conversion from forest to wetland associated with permafrost thaw acts to expand areas of open, freely evaporating water surfaces, counteracting this effect (e.g., Carpino et al., 2018). Warren et al. (2018) demonstrated that at Scotty Creek (Fig. 1, site 13), ET attributable to black spruce accounted for less than 1 % of landscape ET, suggesting areas of open water are of much greater importance to the water balance regionally. The expansion of shrubs in northern tree line and Tundra environments will likely increase regional ET in the snow-free period. For example, Zwieback et al. (2019b) found that rainfall interception losses from birch shrubs at Trail Valley Creek in the southern Arctic (Fig. 1, site 11) reduced below-canopy rainfall by 15 %–30 % but that losses depend on shrub species and density. Shrub can efficiently reduce stomatal conductance under conditions of high vapor pressure deficit and their shading effect can act to limit surface evaporation under dense shrubs (Lund, 2018), further complicating the responses to shrub expansion. Shrub–snow interactions (Sect. 3.2) essentially act to retain winter snowfall and increase post-melt water availability, resulting in greater ET (Pomeroy et al., 2006; Ménard et al., 2014).

### 3.2 Snow regime change and snow–vegetation interactions

Over western Canada during the past several decades there has been a widespread reduction in snow depth, snow cover extent, and seasonal duration, with a shorter snow cover period of between 1 and 2 months, mostly due to earlier melt in spring (Brown et al., 2010, 2020; Mudryk et al., 2018; Marsh et al., 2019). Projected climate warming over the coming decades will continue to cause ubiquitous changes in snow regime, including (i) a greater fraction of \( P \) in the form of rain as opposed to snowfall, especially during shoulder seasons, at lower elevations, and in more southerly locations, (ii) more frequent rain-on-snow events, (iii) warmer and wetter snowfall, (iv) more mid-winter melt events as air temperature crosses the freezing point more frequently, and (v) earlier spring melt and snow cover depletion (Fig. 4). This will also cause distinct changes in runoff, with further transition from snowmelt to rainfall-dominated regimes. The transitions from snowfall to rain and from snow-dominated to rain-dominated hydrological systems are particularly sensitive where and when conditions are relatively warm and large amounts of \( P \) occur near 0 °C (Mekis et al., 2020). For example, analysis by Harder and Pomeroy (2014) in the Rocky Mountain Front Ranges at Marmot Creek (Fig. 1; site 2) showed that a significant proportion of the observed \( P \) events, recorded as either snowfall or rain, occurred within
Figure 2. Simulated $P$ and ET surface water budget components (mm d$^{-1}$) over the Saskatchewan (a, c) and Mackenzie (b, d) River Basins for the WRF control (current; 2000–2015) and future (2085–2100) periods. Results are from Kurkute et al. (2020).

Figure 3. Annual ET (with energy-balance-closure adjustments) at the four BERMS sites from 1997 to 2018 showing generally higher values for the Old Aspen site than for the two conifer sites. The dry conifer site (Old Jack Pine) generally had lower ET than the wet conifer site (Old Black Spruce). The Fen had values exceeding the Old Aspen site following the 2001–2003 drought, with similar values in other years.

just a few degrees plus or minus of 0°C as air temperature or hydrometeor temperature. Even slight warming could lead to rain becoming dominant at such locations. Shi et al. (2015) described the effects of increased rainfall during the snowmelt runoff period at Trail Valley Creek.

Hillslope-scale snowmelt runoff is potentially highly vulnerable to warming temperatures and associated changes in the amount and phase of precipitation. For instance, at three small (5 ha) hillslopes in the Saskatchewan Prairie, Coles et al. (2017) found that increases in summer rains were buffered by the unfrozen, deep, high-infiltrability soils. In contrast, winter and spring melt onto frozen ground with limited soil infiltrability resulted in runoff responses that more closely mirrored the snowfall and snowmelt trends. Increasing occurrence of mid-winter melt events can also alter the timing and magnitude of depression-focused groundwater recharge (Pavlovskii et al., 2019) and may lead to more basal ice formation, producing complex runoff responses in spring. Follow-on hillslope-scale analysis by Coles and McDonnell (2018) found evidence for filling of micro- and meso-depressions on the slope, followed by macro-scale, whole-slope spilling. While surface topography is relatively unimportant under unfrozen conditions on low relief and high-infiltrability Prairie sites, surface topography was of critical importance for connectivity and runoff generation when the ground was frozen during the brief, annual snowmelt pulse. Under climate warming, losing this brief period of surface topographic control on runoff generation could have large implications for hillslope runoff, depending on basal ice formation, among other factors.

Warming can also lead to other important, and sometimes unanticipated, responses in snow accumulation, redistribution, and ablation processes (Fig. 4). Earlier onset of spring melt of the seasonal snow cover shifts snowmelt timing to
conditions of lower incoming solar radiation (Pavlovskii et al., 2019). Paradoxically, this can lead in some cases to a reduction in daily and seasonal average ablation rates and a longer overall period of melt (Pomeroy et al., 2015; Musselman et al., 2017), but not in the Arctic, where both earlier and faster overall melts are predicted (Krogh and Pomeroy, 2019). This is counterintuitive and would not be captured by simple temperature-index melt models (Pomeroy et al., 2015). Warmer and wetter snow has lower susceptibility to wind transport (Li and Pomeroy, 1997), leading to a potential reduction in blowing snow transport and sublimation losses, which can partially offset reductions in snow water equivalent (SWE) due to direct effects of climate warming. Model results by Pomeroy et al. (2015) at Marmot Creek indicate a reduction of blowing snow transport by up to 50% and decrease in sublimation losses by up to roughly 30% with warming of up to 5°C. This would also have important but at present poorly understood consequences for the redistribution of snow, the variability and patterns of SWE over the landscape, and the timing and rate of snow cover depletion (e.g., DeBeer and Pomeroy, 2017). Suppression of blowing snow would lead to a more uniform spatial distribution and thus more rapid decline of snow-covered area that could not be compensated for by the variability in melt energy (Schirmer and Pomeroy, 2020).

Snow–vegetation interactions further affect hydrological responses, and the impacts of vegetation change can equal or exceed those due to climate alone (Rasouli et al., 2019). A conceptual summary is shown in Fig. 4. With rising temperatures, warmer and wetter intercepted snow is more likely to fall to the ground instead of remaining in the forest canopy, where it would otherwise mostly sublimate. Snowfall interception efficiency is relatively insensitive to air temperature (Hedstrom and Pomeroy, 1998) and thus warming is unlikely to lead to large changes in initial interception amounts. But retention of the intercepted snow load is highly temperature dependent (Ellis et al., 2010) and so warming promotes faster unloading and a lower sublimation loss. This acts in combination with reduced wind transport of snow on the ground to offset reductions in SWE due to direct warming effects (Pomeroy et al., 2015). Rising temperatures can shift sub-canopy snowmelt energetics such that the reduction in melt rates due to shading is overcome by the increase in melt due to enhanced long-wave radiation from the canopy (Lundquist et al., 2013). Forest canopy structure, density, and species composition also significantly influence interception loss. Thinning of existing forest cover, reduction in leaf area index (LAI), and transition from coniferous to deciduous species, which are expected as a result of increasing human and natural disturbance and wildfire (Sect. 3.4), will lead to greater surface snow accumulation due to the reduction in canopy interception and sublimation but at the same time will expose more of the snow surface to increasing net radiation and an accompanying increase in ablation rates.

In open, windswept environments dominated by short vegetation such as grasses, crops, and shrubs, expansion across the landscape and/or increasing height and density of vegetation influences surface water availability and land–atmosphere energy and moisture exchanges. Shrub expansion acts to enhance local snow accumulation through more trapping of wind-blown snow and suppression of blowing snow redistribution and sublimation (Pomeroy et al., 2006; Ménard et al., 2014; Wallace and Baltzer, 2019). Shrubs reduce albedo in the spring but are buried in winter and have little effect on albedo in summer. Their canopy reduces latent heat fluxes from snow in the spring and initially accelerates melt when partly exposed and then retards snowmelt when the shrub canopy is fully exposed (Pomeroy et al., 2006; Wilcox et al., 2019). In the Prairies, increasing crop stubble height acts to retain more snow and to increase melt rates, infiltration, and meltwater runoff (Harder et al., 2019).

### 3.3 Glacier loss

In western Canada and globally, glaciers have been predominantly losing mass and retreating in extent, with an apparent acceleration in their wastage in recent decades (Demuth et al., 2008; Demuth, 2018; Menounos et al., 2019; DeBeer et al., 2020).
et al., 2020). Even in the absence of further warming, many of these glaciers are out of balance with the current climate, given their present configuration (Zemp et al., 2015; Marzeion et al., 2018). This indicates that they will further recede to adjust their geometry to the current climate, with a typical response time of several decades for glaciers in western Canada (Marshall et al., 2011; Marzeion et al., 2018). Ongoing climate change is expected to further exacerbate the current imbalance and lead to additional retreat (Clarke et al., 2015).

Mass balance (the net gain or loss of snow and ice averaged over the glacier surface) responds directly to climate perturbations, whereas glacier extent, form, and flow patterns exhibit delayed and modified responses to mass balance changes (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010). Glacier responses are also influenced by secondary factors such as temperature effects on ice flow and meltwater availability at the glacier bed, which affects glacier sliding. In general, warmer air temperatures lead to greater specific ablation rates and a longer melt season, and may reduce accumulation depending on the area–elevation distribution of individual glaciers and the nature of P changes. Many glaciers and icefields in the CCRN region receive snowfall year round at high elevations and some rainfall in the summer. With climate warming, the proportion of rainfall events increases and the late summer snowline moves to higher reaches of the glaciers, exposing firn and bare ice, which melt faster than snow due to their lower albedo. Dust, impurities, and algae in the snow and ice become more concentrated on glacier surfaces as a consequence of high melt rates, in turn reducing the albedo and further enhancing melt (Williamson et al., 2019; DeBeer et al., 2020). There may also be an interaction with wildfire in western Canada, with deposition of black carbon and forest-fire fallout further reducing glacier albedo and providing nutrients to microbial communities (e.g., Marshall and Miller, 2020). High thinning rates in the upper accumulation area of many glaciers in western Canada indicate that these processes are well under way (Anderson, 2017; Pelto et al., 2019; Ednie and Demuth, 2018), while reductions in accumulation zone extent can lead to rapid glacier disintegration, and even complete disappearance. Glacier fragmentation and detachment of tributary ice streams leads to loss of ice supply to lower reaches, which can then become stagnant and melt out.

There are other important glacier–climate feedbacks. Energy balance conditions shift in response to glacier retreat; for example, ice-free marginal areas and valley walls contribute turbulent energy supply and long-wave radiation fluxes to the glacier, and these fluxes can be enhanced as glaciers thin and retreat, increasing ablation rates. The presence of glacial ice helps to regulate local climates and preserve cold conditions. As reduced snow accumulation leads to a reduction in glacier mass balance, so a reduction in glacier extent leads to a reduction in snow accumulation, given that the glacier surface, which is \( \leq 0^\circ \text{C} \), helps retain snow cover (Marshall et al., 2011).

Projections of future glacier change indicate that glaciers in the Rocky Mountains will lose roughly half their total area and volume by mid century, regardless of RCP scenario, and from about 75 % to 95 % or more by the end of the 21st century under the RCP2.6 to RCP8.5 scenarios, respectively (Clarke et al., 2015). By mid century, many valley glaciers will have retreated substantially up-valley, and by late in the century even high elevation glaciers and icefield plateaus will be greatly reduced or will have disappeared entirely (Fig. 5). Even the Columbia Icefield (see Demuth and Horne, 2017, and Tennant and Menounous, 2013), the largest and among the highest elevation ice masses in the Rocky Mountains, is projected to disintegrate into several small vestigial patches of ice near the tops of the highest peaks by the late 21st century. There are no comparable studies for the glaciated regions of the Mackenzie Mountains, Northwest Territories, but the observed patterns of recent change are similar to glaciers in the Rockies and the future change is expected to be similar (De-muth et al., 2014; Ednie and Demuth, 2018).

From a hydrological perspective, as glacier loss progresses, glacier wasteage contributions and enhanced ablation will increase glacial contributions to discharge towards “peak water” (Huss and Hock, 2018), followed by a decline in glacier runoff due to loss of ice-covered area, even with further warming and increasing specific ablation rates. It remains uncertain where, when, and over what scales this will occur, although some studies have indicated that peak water has already passed in parts of southwestern Canada (Moore et al., 2020). Clarke et al. (2015) projected that peak runoff of glacier meltwater will occur between 2020 and 2040. Projections of glacier decline on the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains by Marshall et al. (2011) indicate a substantial decline in glacier contributions to discharge from about 1.1 km\(^3\) yr\(^{-1}\) early this century to 0.1 km\(^3\) yr\(^{-1}\) by late in the 21st century. With the loss of glaciers, the buffering effect that glacial storage can provide for discharge variations (e.g., during drought years) in the mountain headwaters will become increasingly diminished (Demuth and Ednie, 2016).

### 3.4 Northern vegetation, wildfire, and loss of ecological resilience

Ecosystem change can have profound effects on hydrological response and land–atmosphere feedbacks, yet the complexity of expected change and the associated uncertainty are often overlooked in hydrological projections. Across the CCRN region, contemporary climate change is already having direct impacts on northern ecosystems, defined here as including the southern Boreal Forest and its transition with the Prairies and the Cordillera. The interior of western Canada has been identified as a region of maximum ecological sensitivity (Bergengren et al., 2011). Forests in the southern Boreal region of western Canada have shown signs of declining pro-
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showed infilling of forests below the tree line in the Northwest Territories but no increase in tree density above the tree line in the Tundra. To the south in the Rocky Mountains, Trant et al. (2020) observed widespread upward advance in alpine tree lines and increases in tree density, with changes in growth form from krummholz to erect tree form.

Climate change alters terrestrial ecosystems broadly through changes to (1) composition (vegetation, soils, and wildlife), (2) configuration and disturbance patterns, and (3) function. This includes structural changes to the current vegetation (above- and below-ground biomass, plant density, canopy height, LAI, and rooting depth); changes to land cover distribution patterns (resulting from changes in the disturbance regime and changes in competition, colonization, ecosystem resilience and vegetation succession following disturbance); and functional changes (surface albedo, snow accumulation and melt, soil freeze and thaw, ET, ecosystem productivity, decomposition, biogeochemical cycling, and wildlife habitat). The direct climatic drivers of vegetation change include rising atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations and temperature- and moisture-induced shifts in plant community function and vegetation distributions. However, over the 21st century the greatest impacts of climate change on vegetation dynamics are expected to be indirect, via increased frequency and intensity of disturbance (wildfire, insect outbreaks, and other landscape-scale disturbances; Turetsky et al., 2017) leading to losses of ecosystem resilience. These intensified disturbance processes can cause ecosystems to reach critical tipping points, triggering ecological state change (reviewed by Johnstone et al., 2016). Imposed on the climate-induced changes in vegetation will be the potential for changing human activities (e.g., logging, land clearing for agriculture and mining; Landhausser et al., 2010; Hannah et al., 2020), some of which will interact with climate change to accelerate vegetation change.

Northern ecosystems are expected to be most resilient to disturbances and environmental conditions that are within the historic range of variability and previous adaptation (Keane et al., 2009; Johnstone et al., 2016; Seidl et al., 2016). Many northern ecosystems may be initially resistant to change, because feedbacks associated with long-lived vegetation help to maintain environmental conditions and ecological functions that support ecological stability, even during directional environmental change (Chapin et al., 2004). While fire has been a foundational process in the functioning and ecology of the Boreal Forest for more than 5000 years, an increase in the frequency of high-intensity fires, coupled with a warming climate, may weaken ecosystem resilience and disrupt the historically stable cycles of forest succession. The result may be a regime shift from one plant community to another and from one stability domain to another (Johnstone et al., 2010c, 2016). Wildfire activity has increased in recent decades across the Boreal Forest (Hanes et al., 2019) and there are indications that fires are burning more severely (Turetsky et al., 2011) and deeper into stored legacy carbon.
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displacement of shrubs by ENF and larch forest will occur outbreaks in the southwestern Yukon (Campbell et al., 2019). Disturbance conditions, as in the rapid recovery to bark beetle insect survival through the winter (Berg et al., 2006). In some associated with warm winter temperatures that allow increased west Territories (GNWT, 2013). Likewise, unusual outbreaks of mountain pine beetle have been found in the North- 
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Figure 6. Changing DBF cover fractions over the Mackenzie and Saskatchewan River Basins in the 21st century. The approach involved a simple yet ecologically based projection with expert-guided modifications to impose restrictions on the rates of species colonization and requirements for wildfire to trigger change (Appendix). Projections were made in 45-year increments from the base period (centered at 1995 but using the 2005 base map) to represent the 2040 (mid-century) and 2085 (late-century) periods.

3.5 Permafrost thaw as a driver of landscape change and hydrological rerouting

Climate warming has led to warming and increased thaw depth of permafrost across northern Canada (Smith, 2011), with associated changes in characteristics of seasonally frozen soils (e.g., timing of freezing and thawing, frequency of freeze–thaw cycles, depth of frost). In southerly locations where permafrost is discontinuous, shallow, and relatively warm (i.e., at or near the freezing point depression), there has been widespread thawing and degradation of permafrost, with increasing supra-permafrost layer thickness – including both the active layer (seasonally frozen) and the talik (perennially thawed) (Connon et al., 2018). As a result of warming and shallower re-freeze depths during winter, active layer thickness has been decreasing. Where ice-rich soils occur, there has been active thermokarst development, slumping, and ground surface subsidence (Olefeldt et al., 2016; Turetsky et al., 2019). In permafrost lowlands of the Taiga Plain, soil thawing has led to subsidence and inundation of ground surfaces resulting in extensive forest loss, fragmentation, and concomitant wetland expansion and conversion mostly to sphagnum-dominated bogs (Baltzer et al., 2014; Helbig et al., 2016). In the southern Arctic, increased permafrost thawing is leading to changes in channel permafrost conditions, increasing winter groundwater flow in the channel, and increasing occurrence of aufeis formation (Ensom et al., 2020).

Many northern ecosystems are underlain by ice-rich permafrost that is highly sensitive to thawing during warm summers (Segal et al., 2016; Lewkowicz and Way, 2019) or following other disturbances (Williams et al., 2013). Wildfire and combustion of insulating moss and peat layers affects permafrost temperatures and can trigger thaw (Holloway et al., 2020). The lateral expansion of thermokarst features increases following wildfire activity; for example, Gibson et al. (2018) found that wildfire was estimated to be responsible for 30% of permafrost thaw expansion in the southern Northwest Territories. Some of the energy driving the thaw of permafrost enters the permafrost bodies laterally from adjacent permafrost-free terrains (Kurylyk et al., 2016). As such, the rate of permafrost thaw and forest loss is accelerating as patches of permafrost plateau become more fragmented, leading to greater proportional plateau edge to total plateau area (Quinton and Baltzer, 2013; Baltzer et al., 2014; Carpino et al., 2018). Reduced soil stability during thaw events can cause substantial mass wasting through thermokarst and retrogressive thaw slumps, with impacts on local vegetation and downstream drainage (Schuur and Mack, 2018). Once the vegetation is disturbed, colonization by tall shrubs can cause...
Quinton et al. (2009) proposed a conceptual model of canopy thinning and permafrost thaw in which canopy thinning due to fire, disease, or other disturbance allows for an increase in local solar energy input and leads to preferential ground thaw (Fig. 8). A local depression forms in the relatively impermeable frost table and underlying permafrost table. Such thaw depressions introduce a hydraulic gradient that directs subsurface flow towards them so that thaw depressions soon become local areas of elevated soil moisture content. Since the thermal conductivity of wet soil is far more than that of dry soil, the vertical conduction of energy to the thaw depressions increases due to the increased moisture content, and as a result, a positive feedback is initiated, which accelerates the thaw of the disturbed areas. Wet conditions prevent trees from re-establishing and a new, isolated flat bog is formed. Many areas within the Taiga Plain are highly susceptible to thaw through this process (e.g., Gibson et al., 2020) and widespread replacement of forest-covered peat plateaus by wetlands is expected over the coming decades. A caveat is that these ecosystems represent some of the strongest ecosystem-protected permafrost, so undoubtedly a portion of permafrost peatland will linger, but this will depend on the degree of warming and also fire (Stralberg et al., 2020).

The loss of permafrost is impacting water cycling across the northern parts of the CCRN region. Land-surface subsidence and the collapse of peat plateaus to wetlands in the Taiga Plain alters drainage networks, surface and groundwater storage distribution, and the transit of water across the landscape (Fig. 8; Connon et al., 2014, 2018; Haynes et al., 2018; Quinton et al., 2019). This incorporates individual wetlands into the runoff contributing area, which expands deep into the interior of extensive plateau–wetland complexes as hydrological connections form between wetlands. The process results in both transient increases to basin discharge through the dewatering of incorporated wetlands, and longer-term increases in discharge arising from an expanded contributing area (Quinton et al., 2019). Another mechanism by which thaw influences runoff processes is by opening previously inaccessible subsurface flow pathways. Talik expansion provides an additional drainage path for wetland dewatering – one that conducts water throughout the year (Connon et al., 2018; Devoie et al., 2019). While this may give rise to transient increases in basin discharge due to the increased connectivity and dewatering of wetlands (Quinton et al., 2019), the process is not sustainable and may result in eventual drying of the landscape with increasing ET (Stone

et al., 2019). Regeneration of black spruce forest may ultimately occur in the absence of permafrost, as has been observed further south near the Northwest Territories–British Columbia border (Carpino et al., 2018). In the Taiga Shield landscape, lake storage state can rapidly change the contributing area for runoff downstream and the landscape has a distinct threshold–response runoff regime (Ali et al., 2013). Wetlands are important “switches” in controlling the state of hydrological connectivity in the watersheds (Spence and Phillips, 2015). Permafrost thaw (and ultimately disappearance) may significantly affect this functioning, but it is unclear at what fraction of thaw progression major hydrological changes will occur.

3.6 Groundwater interactions and Prairie wetland processes

Over much of the Prairies and the Boreal Plain, groundwater discharge from shallow sand and gravel aquifers sustains year-round base flow in some small streams and can be an important component of the water balance of wetlands and of some lakes. Groundwater is thus important with respect to local water resources and in maintaining surface hydrological connectivity and ecosystem function. Groundwater provides rural water supplies and in some cases municipal supplies (Peach and Wheater, 2014), and whilst it is not used as a major source for irrigation water outside of the southern–central parts of Manitoba, an issue facing some parts of the Prairies is the increasing reliance on groundwater as water demand rises and surface water becomes over-allocated (Council of Canadian Academies, 2009). Regional-scale groundwater depletion is not common in Canada, unlike other parts of North America (Rodell et al., 2018), but there have been numerous examples of isolated, human-induced local-scale depletion in Alberta (e.g., Munroe, 2015). The water-table records in shallow (< 20 m) observation wells in the Prairie region show regular seasonal variations, with rises in spring and declines through the rest of the year. There were no large long-term changes during 1960–2000, a noticeable drop during the 2000–2004 drought, followed by a rise in the following decade (Hanesiak et al., 2011). There are very few long-term observation wells in the Boreal Plain, but the detailed records of water-table variations at the BERMS (Site 7, Fig. 1), together with hydrometeorological records, demonstrate the responses of the water table to changes in net water input to the subsurface throughout dry and wet periods and in various typical settings including peatlands and dry uplands (Anochikwa et al., 2012; Barr et al., 2012).

In the Prairies and Boreal Plain, lateral groundwater flow is slow due to the relatively flat terrain and the low permeability of the clay-rich glacial sediments underlying most of the landscape. As a result, subsurface water movement is mostly vertical – downward with infiltration, upward by root uptake – and the soil water and groundwater form a hydrological continuum. Rises of the water table are primarily driven by snowmelt infiltration and by focused recharge beneath ephemeral ponds in small wetlands and depressions that dry out within days or weeks after filling with snowmelt runoff (Bam et al., 2020). Recharge processes are sensitive to changes in snow accumulation, redistribution, and ablation processes (Sect. 3.2) and to land-use conversion (e.g., native grassland to cultivated fields, change in tillage practice), which influences soil hydraulic properties and snowmelt infiltration and runoff (van der Kamp et al., 2003). Most summer P infiltrates only to the root zone and is taken up by vegetation, driving a seasonal decline of the water table (Hayashi et al., 2016). However, summer infiltration can lead to rises of the water table where it is near the ground surface, as in wetlands. As a result, the dynamics of the shallow groundwater table are strongly controlled by the balance between infiltration and ET in response to weather, vegetation, and seasons. It is also sensitive to inter-annual and inter-decadal fluctuations in P (Hayashi and Farrow, 2014). The water table in the Prairie and Boreal regions can fluctuate quickly but is generally limited in range. When the water table rises near the ground surface, ET is increased and lateral groundwater flow to surface waters becomes important within the highly fractured near-surface materials (Hayashi et al., 2016; Brannen et al., 2015). This causes the water table to decline and
Groundwater processes are closely linked to the water regime (i.e., hydroperiod) of wetlands. Prairie wetlands occur in the form of shallow marshes ("sloughs" or "potholes") with little accumulation of organic matter, whereas Boreal wetlands primarily occur as peatlands. The spatial transition from Prairie marshes to Boreal peatlands is coincident with the transitional ecotone between the Prairie and Boreal Plain regions, described in Sect. 2 (see Ireson et al., 2015). The hydroperiod of prairie wetlands is essentially controlled by a balance between water inputs from snowmelt runoff and \( P \), versus ET losses and sporadic overflow in wet periods (Hayashi et al., 2016). Groundwater outflow from these wetlands due to ET in the riparian zone also has a strong influence on the hydroperiod. Long-term (50+ years) data collected at the St. Denis WECC observatory (Fig. 1, site 8) have demonstrated the dominance of precipitation amounts in controlling the multi-decadal-scale variability in hydroperiod (Hanesiak et al., 2011; Hayashi et al., 2016).

The hydrology of Boreal peatlands has not been studied as extensively as that of Prairie wetlands, but studies of a fen in the BERMS (Barr et al., 2012) have shown that it has a large water storage capacity and supplies base flow to streams and to support the shallow water table in surrounding uplands during dry periods. In contrast, the fen sheds water quickly to streams during wet periods when the water table rises above the peat surface. Long-term studies in northern Alberta have shown that the type of glacial sediments has a large influence on the groundwater exchange and runoff generation from the peatlands (e.g., Devito et al., 2017).

Groundwater replenishment to deeper aquifers is restricted by the low permeability of overlying layers of clay, clay-rich glacial till, and shale, and by the position of the aquifers within larger regional groundwater flow systems (Cummings et al., 2012). In the Prairies, replenishment rates to confined aquifers generally range from a few mm to a few tens of millimeters per year (van der Kamp and Hayashi, 1998). Recharge to the water table represents a residual in the water balance and is highly sensitive to changes in the balance between \( P \) and \( ET \); however, replenishment to deep aquifers is not sensitive to variations of the water table and therefore responds slowly to climate change.

In the Western Cordillera, the interaction of groundwater with surface waters is in many ways different from the groundwater dynamics in the Boreal Plain and the Prairies. Groundwater plays an essential role in sustaining base flow in the mountain headwaters of large river systems (Paznekas and Hayashi, 2016), and may be of growing importance under climate change. Above the tree line in the Rocky Mountains, primary aquifers are sedimentary landforms such as talus, moraine, and rock glacier (Hood and Hayashi, 2015; Harrington et al., 2018; Hayashi, 2020; Christensen et al., 2020), except in areas with substantial karst systems. Groundwater storage in these landforms is relatively small compared to the SWE contained in the seasonal snow cover (Hood and Hayashi, 2015), and groundwater discharge exhibits a fast recession after snowmelt or rainfall events. However, this is generally followed by a slower recession and the remaining storage allows these aquifers to sustain stable base flow during the rest of the year when there is little recharge (Hayashi, 2020). The high topographic relief, together with significant heterogeneity in bedrock and surficial deposits, influences patterns of vertical and lateral groundwater flow and recharge and discharge processes. At lower elevations, aquifers include glacial and alluvial deposits of highly permeable sands and gravels that drape mountainsides and underlie valley bottoms, usually 10 s to 100 m thick, but in some instances up to several hundred meters in thickness (Toop and de la Cruz, 2002). These store larger quantities of water and provide a reliable supply for municipal and industrial uses. In floodplain areas, the water table is usually near the ground surface and fluctuates with river levels. Although mountain aquifers are able to buffer base flow against climate warming and associated changes in surface water availability (e.g., Paznekas and Hayashi, 2016), anecdotal evidence has indicated that they cannot sustain high flows in drought years, such as in 2015, when the spring–summer discharge of the Bow River fell to about half its median rate at Banff and to less than 10% at its mouth.

4 Process-based modelling of change in CCRN

Due to the complexity in process responses to climate and anthropogenic change in the CCRN domain and other cold regions, there is significant uncertainty associated with model projections of future hydrological change. While all models have limitations, detailed process-based models can yield important insights into interactions and feedbacks, and large-scale models can be used with careful selection of possible scenarios to quantify likely effects of future change. Here we describe CCRN’s efforts to improve model process representation, diagnose past change, and predict future change.

4.1 Fine-scale diagnostic and predictive modelling

Based on field studies and understanding from the WECC observatories, efforts were directed primarily at improving functionality and expanding the capability of handling complex cold-region processes within the Cold Regions Hydrological Modelling (CRHM) platform (Pomeroy et al., 2007; https://research-groups.usask.ca/hydrology/modelling/crhm.php, last access: 6 April 2021). CRHM is a flexible modelling system that can be used to generate a process hydrology model, specific to the needs of the user and to the availability of driving meteorological data and of basin biophysical information to select parameters. A functioning model is built by selecting various process modules from a library; the modules incorporate algorithms or sub-
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models that are based on several decades of hydrological research. Process algorithms cover a wide range of phenomena specific to cold-region hydrology, which are then linked together to represent specific elements of the hydrological system and cycling over distinct landscape units termed “hydrological response units” (HRUs). Process studies and model developments focused on blowing snow transport and sublimation over complex terrain (Aksamit and Pomeroy, 2018, 2020); snowmelt in disturbed forests and on slopes; water flow through snowpacks (Leroux and Pomeroy, 2017, 2019); glacier snow, firn, and ice melt (Samimi and Marshall, 2017; Marshall and Miller, 2020; Anderson, 2017; Pradhananga, 2020); snow avalanching; soil moisture and hydraulic conductivity (Zwieback et al., 2019a); and freezing and thawing of soils (Krogh et al., 2017; Williamson et al., 2018; Rowlandson et al., 2018; Lara et al., 2020).

Improving process representation ultimately translates into increased realism and predictive capability. Marmot Creek (Fig. 1; site 2) provides a prime example of how the WECC observatories are valuable testbeds for model development. Pomeroy et al. (2012) and then Fang et al. (2013) developed a model for this basin using the CRHM platform, with parameterizations based on decades of intensive field science here and elsewhere, and showed very good model performance for snow accumulation and melt, soil moisture, groundwater, and stream discharge at the basin scale and for smaller sub-basins. They conducted “falsification” tests by neglecting parameterizations for forest canopy snow mass and energy, blowing snow, intercepted rain evaporation, and sublimation, which showed that without these, the model prediction of basin and sub-basin streamflow was substantially degraded. This approach, verified by successful internal simulation of sub-basin hydrological variability and model falsification, lends more confidence in the face of non-stationarity and for ungauged basins, where parameter equifinality and conceptual uncertainty are otherwise major challenges.

CRHM was applied at a number of the WECC observatories as well as other sites in western North America and run for historical periods using local meteorological observations, ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011), and/or bias-corrected WATCH (Weedon et al., 2011, 2014) forcing data. It was verified using field observations and then used to diagnose hydrological function of these basins, and predict and diagnose historical change, such as the impact of changing climate, wetland drainage, glacier shrinkage and ice exposure, permafrost thaw, and shrub growth/expansion on hydrological processes, cycling, and streamflow hydrographs. It has also been run for late 21st century climates, downscaled using statistical and dynamical methods. Future sensitivity and change was examined by perturbing climate forcing using high-resolution WRF modelled pseudo global warming under RCP8.5 (see Krogh and Pomeroy, 2019) or using results from the North American Regional Climate Change Assessment Program (NARCCAP) consisting of 11 regional climate models driven by outputs from multiple global climate models (GCMs) for the SRES A2 emission scenario (see Rasoul et al., 2019). Hydrological responses to changing vegetation, soils, and land cover were examined using current and expected future states of the basins.

4.2 Large-scale river basin modelling

CCRN worked with partners in Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) to advance the Modélisation Environnementale Communautaire (MEC) – Surface and Hydrology (MESH) model. MESH is a stand-alone land-surface–hydrology scheme designed for both forecasting and open loop simulations (Pietroniro et al., 2007). It uses a “grouped response unit” (GRU) approach to represent spatial heterogeneity for parameter identification, with CLASS as the surface water and energy budget simulation model for open loop simulations. As a hydrological modelling system, MESH captures many of the important land-surface processes necessary for cold-region simulation, provides a flexible modelling framework that facilitates inter-comparison of alternative algorithms and models (e.g., land-surface schemes and routing schemes), and can be applied over larger river basins.

Over the course of CCRN, major advancements in the MESH system were made in terms of basic operability, scalability, and parallelization, as well as in its ability to handle sloping and complex terrain, permafrost (Sapirza-Azuri et al., 2018; Elshamy et al., 2020), lakes and wetlands, snow processes and glacier representation, vegetation processes including snow–canopy interactions (Bartlett and Verseghy, 2015; Asaadi et al., 2018), frozen soils and Prairie hydrology including variable hydrological connectivity (Mekonnen et al., 2014), and water management impacts including reservoirs, diversions, and irrigation (Yassin et al., 2019). The work has progressed to a point at which functioning MESH models for the Mackenzie and Saskatchewan River systems have been developed, calibrated, and tested (Yassin et al., 2017, 2019; Bahrami et al., 2020).

MESH has been run for historical (1980–2010) and future (2025–2055; 2070–2100) climates at a 10 km resolution, incorporating these advancements in process and water management representation, to examine changes in regional hydrology and river flows. Forcing data included WATCH and ERA-Interim products (Weedon et al., 2011, 2014) with bias correction using regional datasets such as the combined Global Environmental Multiscale (GEM) atmospheric model forecasts and the Canadian Precipitation Analysis (CaPA) (Fortin et al., 2018). Regional climate projections for future MESH simulations to the end of the 21st century were derived from 15 ensemble members from the CORDEX-NA CanRCM4 under the RCP8.5 emissions scenario. Climate fields were spatially downscaled and bias-corrected against the WATCH ERA-Interim reanalysis – GEM – CaPA product (Asong et al., 2020). Evaluation of the historical simulations has shown that the model performed quite well, with Nash–Sutcliffe Efficiencies for river discharge greater.
than 0.5 at 60% of observation stations in the Saskatchewan River Basin and greater than 0.6 for most stations along the main stem of the Mackenzie River and its major tributaries (Peace, Athabasca, Slave, Liard, and Great Bear). Major efforts have been needed to develop robust algorithms for simulation of permafrost, glacier, and vegetation change, and the development of scenarios of future land cover change. These have now been prepared and the next phase of the work is to run the models for full (i.e., climate and land cover) future assessment. Scenario results are currently pending, but some preliminary insights are discussed below.

5 Synthesis of future change and hydrological responses

New understanding and insight into process sensitivity, interactions, and responses (Sect. 3), together with expert elicitation and process-based modelling (Sect. 4), have allowed more scientifically informed projections of future ecological, cryospheric, and hydrological change than have hitherto been available. Here, these are brought together, informed by the new research results from CCRN, to develop a summary picture largely applicable to the late 21st century (Fig. 9).

Future climate is expected to lead to profound changes in land cover and vegetation. In the mountain regions, one of the most striking changes will be the loss of glaciers. The lower parts of many glaciers will have disappeared within decades or less, while upland icefields may persist but in a much diminished state. By the late 21st century only vestigial remnants of the former ice cover and small glaciers in favorable locations for ice preservation will likely remain. Over a much larger part of the CCRN domain, and of greater magnitude of change, will be the response of vegetation and forest ecosystems to climate change and climate-induced disturbances. At northern and alpine tundra and tree line ecotones, shrub growth and expansion in tundra will continue and is expected to accelerate over the latter half of the 21st century. A northern and upward shift in tree line is likely but will occur more slowly and be far less pronounced than for shrub expansion. Across the contiguous Boreal Forest, the major transition will be the loss of ENF and major expansion of DBF and jack pine forest stands, wetlands (in the north), and, to a lesser extent, grasslands (e.g., in valley bottom areas of the Cordillera). Permafrost thaw and collapse of permafrost-underlain spruce forest and peat plateaus will accelerate over vast parts of the Taiga Plain. At the southern Boreal–Prairie ecotone and over the Boreal Plain, northward expansion of deciduous shrubs and concomitant loss of deciduous and mixed-wood forest will continue, leading to the expansion of grassland in these areas into the late 21st century.

In addition, human activities, land–water management practices, and changes in agricultural cropping patterns will further alter landscapes. These are likely to be most pronounced in the Prairie and southern Boreal parts of the CCRN region. Climate warming will further drive changes in crop mix and spatial patterns, with new crops such as corn becoming more widespread, and northward expansion of other crops such as canola, wheat, and soy (Hannah et al., 2020). Climatic and land suitability limitations will restrict how, where, and the timescales over which this occurs. For example, parts of southern Alberta will experience more extreme heat and heat stress days above 30°C, resulting in declining crop production even with sufficient moisture. In Saskatchewan, work by Coles et al. (2017) has suggested for planted hillslopes, measured decreased snowfall, snowmelt runoff, and spring soil water content is affecting agricultural productivity through increased dependence on growing season precipitation, likely accentuating the future impact of droughts. Areas vulnerable to drought, such as the Palliser Triangle of southern Alberta and Saskatchewan, and where soils have low moisture storage capacity, will most likely undergo conversion to pasture and grassland as arable agriculture becomes non-viable. Other areas may require irrigation to remain viable, and with agricultural expansion and more water-intensive forms of crop production, there will be increased irrigation demand (Council of Canadian Academies, 2013) and possibly a need for more reservoirs. The northward expansion of agriculture will occur in nodes as infrastructure and roads develop, and be limited by the suitability of soils. Another major change in parts of the agricultural zone is the artificial drainage of wetlands, which has various impacts on runoff, erosion, sediment transport, groundwater recharge, and water quality (Pomeroy et al., 2014; Shoek et al., 2015). While recent policies have been implemented to limit drainage (or minimize the impacts), the trend will likely continue, especially in wetter regions to the east and in the face of hydro-climatic change resulting in more spring and summer flooding (Stewart et al., 2019), although the potential exists for wetland restoration to mitigate these effects.

The combined changes in climate, vegetation, soils, and land cover will have major effects on hydrology. CRHM outputs show that the loss of cold in the CCRN region is expected to cause dramatic shifts in the timing, variability, and volume of streamflow, and even more profoundly, on the processes generating streamflow. There is sometimes compensation by changing vegetation but also instances where vegetation and soil change enhance the magnitude of climate change impacts on hydrology. Summary results from the CRHM applications at several observatory basins in different ecological regions are provided in Table 1. Results for a number of other basins are pending. These studies show a tendency for increasing total discharge and earlier spring freshet in these headwater basins, as a result of warmer and wetter late 21st century conditions but mixed trends in SWE and peak discharge rates. Within Marmot Creek, anticipated warming will cause basin-wide peak SWE to decline by about 30% to 40% but by as much 90% in some parts of the basin, with valley bottoms becoming almost en-
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tirely snow-free, and an accompanying shift in snow cover depletion of up to 6 weeks. Yet the increase in $P$ leads to a roughly 20% increase in total discharge. Farther north at Wolf Creek, where conditions are colder, climate change impacts on snow regime are projected to be less severe and vegetation change (expansion of forest and shrub tundra) is projected to have a compensatory influence. Here, a statistically insignificant increase in SWE due to vegetation increase in the alpine zone was found to offset the statistically significant decrease in SWE due to climate change. At high elevations in Wolf and Marmot Creeks, CHRM results indicate that vegetation/soil changes moderate the impact of climate change, further decreasing peak SWE and sublimation. At Havikpak Creek near the Taiga–Tundra transition, where significant expansion of shrubs is expected, maximum SWE will increase as a result of increasing $P$ and reduced blowing snow redistribution and sublimation. This is expected to double the volume of discharge, and significantly increase spring freshet volume, snowmelt rates and peak discharge rates.

CRHM was also applied to the Bow (∼ 7824 km$^2$) and Elbow (∼ 1192 km$^2$) River Basins above the city of Calgary, AB, and run to diagnose the hydrological effects of forest disturbance in these basins in the context of the June 2013 flood event. The land cover scenarios are at a finer resolution than those shown in Figs. 6 and 7 but capture the same essential features and in agreement for wildfire and the loss ENF projected for the late 21st century. Other scenarios included harvesting of lodgepole pine and disturbance by mountain pine beetle. The results show that for both rivers, high wildfire severity and secondarily mountain pine beetle infestation with salvage logging resulted in an increase in streamflow. High wildfire severity followed by mountain pine beetle with salvage logging and maximum harvest area scenarios increased the volume and daily discharge of the June 2013 flood. Other forest disturbance scenarios had minimal impacts on streamflow. Thus, wildfire and loss of montane forests in such intermediate sized basins of the mountain headwaters are likely to have a notable impact on flow regime in future.

For the larger Saskatchewan and Mackenzie River systems, the results of MESH simulations over the Saskatchewan and Mackenzie River Basins indicate that future climate conditions will lead to considerable shifts in discharge timing, magnitude, and variability. The results are provisional and do not yet fully account for changing landscapes and vegetation, but initial MESH climate production runs indicate there is likely to be a shift in timing of spring hydrograph rise and peak flows of nearly 2 weeks earlier by mid century, and as much as 1 month by late century. Fine-scale MESH runs on the mountain-sourced Bow and Elbow River Basins, driven by WRF, and with adjust-
Table 1. Summary of basin average CRHM projections of snow and discharge regime characteristics for the mid or late 21st century at various observatory basins within the CCRN domain. (NA indicates results are not available.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecological region</th>
<th>WECC observatory/research basin</th>
<th>Maximum SWE</th>
<th>Snow cover duration</th>
<th>Snow sublimation</th>
<th>Spring freshet onset (centroid of flow)</th>
<th>Peak discharge</th>
<th>Total discharge</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Cordillera</td>
<td>Marmot Creek*</td>
<td>−40 mm</td>
<td>−49 d</td>
<td>−40 mm</td>
<td>−45 d (−12 d) −0.12 m³ s⁻¹ (−11 %)</td>
<td>+76 mm</td>
<td>(18 %)</td>
<td>Study evaluated snow and hydrological responses to late 21st century climate but did not evaluate effects of projected forest and land cover change.</td>
<td>Fang and Pomeroy (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Cordillera</td>
<td>Marmot Creek*</td>
<td>−77 mm</td>
<td>−37 d</td>
<td>−7 mm</td>
<td>−5 d (NA) −0.02 m³ s⁻¹ (−3 %)</td>
<td>+90 mm</td>
<td>(22 %)</td>
<td>Study evaluated snow and hydrological responses to mid-21st century climate, as well as future vegetation and soil. Results here are responses to combined change.</td>
<td>Rasouli et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Cordillera</td>
<td>Wolf Creek</td>
<td>−26 mm</td>
<td>−9 d</td>
<td>+5 mm</td>
<td>−22 d (NA) −0.85 m³ s⁻¹ (−31 %)</td>
<td>+36 mm</td>
<td>(15 %)</td>
<td>Study evaluated snow and hydrological responses to mid-21st century climate, as well as future vegetation and soil. Results here are responses to combined change.</td>
<td>Rasouli et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boreal Plain</td>
<td>BERMS/Whitegull Creek</td>
<td>−38 mm</td>
<td>−59 d</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>−25 d (−11 d) +10 mm d⁻¹ (100 %)</td>
<td>+37 mm</td>
<td>(30 %)</td>
<td>Study comprised a sensitivity analysis to changes in $P$ (−30 % to +30 %) and $T$ (0 to +6 °C), as well as forest harvesting scenarios. Results here indicate responses to +20 % $P$ and +6 °C, as most closely projected by WRF for this region by late 21st century.</td>
<td>provisional results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiga Plain – Southern Arctic Transition</td>
<td>Havikpak Creek</td>
<td>+80 mm</td>
<td>−26 d</td>
<td>−5 mm</td>
<td>−7 d (−7 d) +0.7 m³ s⁻¹ (78 %)</td>
<td>+101 mm</td>
<td>(100 %)</td>
<td>Study evaluated snow and hydrological responses to late 21st century climate, as well as future vegetation. Results here are responses to combined change.</td>
<td>Krogh and Pomeroy (2019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: differences in relative magnitude of changes for Marmot Creek are a result of differences in model base scenarios as well as projection results between the two studies.
ments for slope, aspect and elevation, were able to capture the main river hydrographs well and demonstrate how this forward shift in freshet is a result of a transition to much more rainfall-runoff generation as rainfall increases and snowpacks decline in the late 21st century (Tessema et al., 2020). The MESH models of the Saskatchewan and Mackenzie River Basins further show that increasing $P$ across the CCRN region of interest is not offset by increasing ET, and overall flow volume increases by as much as 40% by the end of the century. Low flows in winter become slightly higher in magnitude but with more inter-annual variability, and there is a likely considerable increase in spring freshet volume and peak flows. By late century these spring flows, on average, will increase by a factor of 1.5 to 2; the greater variability and higher peak flows at most locations along the river network will greatly increase the risk of spring flooding. This is likely to stress human water management systems and reservoir operations, as river discharge regimes may be altered far beyond the historical flow ranges, seasonality, and variability under which these systems were designed and operated.

6 Further research priorities and concluding remarks

This article reports results of the multi-disciplinary CCRN, which has examined recent and future ecological, cryospheric, and hydrological change in relation to projected 21st century climatic change over the interior of western and northern Canada. Key insights into the mechanisms and interactions of Earth surface process responses are presented, gained from a network of highly instrumented and intensively studied experimental observatories. This provided the ability to observe and diagnose change across the region, while the sites acted as a testbed for developing and improving predictive models. CCRN activities also involved improving cold-region process representation within the CRHM fine-scale and MESH large-scale modelling systems. Application of the fine-scale modelling system has been used to diagnose recent change in selected basins and the nature of future change. Broader application of the fine-scale and large-scale models under future climate and land cover scenarios, representing mid and late 21st century conditions, is currently underway with support of the Global Water Futures program (https://gwf.usask.ca/, last access: 6 April 2021).

In general, insights from expert elicitation and preliminary modelling indicate that the region will continue to undergo widespread environmental change as a result of warmer temperatures and changing $P$ regimes. This will predominantly involve continued loss of snow and ice, thawing of permafrost, major ecosystem change and an increase in the occurrence and magnitude of wildfire, and a shift from nival and glacial to more rainfall-driven pluvial runoff regimes. Intensifying floods and increased risk of drought can also be expected. However, some of the process responses are non-trivial and highly complex. To understand the trajectories of different northern ecological, cryospheric, and hydrological systems under climate change, the details of these processes and their interactions are very important. This can have unanticipated and sometime surprising outcomes that simple models or extrapolations will fail to capture.

There are many gaps to be addressed by further research and priorities for model development. Most current-generation land-surface schemes and hydrological models do not handle a dynamic landscape where vegetation, glaciers, permafrost distribution, etc. are transient, and there is large uncertainty in their application under a non-stationary hydroclimatic regime. Human interventions also have a large influence through activities such as forest disturbance, agricultural and forest land management, water abstractions for consumptive use, diversions, and reservoir operations, which further alter ecological and hydrological systems. Improved physical process representation is required to handle these complexities and interactions, while models need to be applied at spatial and temporal scales that can properly resolve the dominant drivers of hydrological change. New and more sophisticated sensors and remote sensing technologies are providing improved measurement capabilities and possibilities for more detailed and spatially extensive observations of hydrological states and fluxes. This can provide the data necessary to quantify landscape and hydrological change and to test and validate improved models, but over much of Canada and other remote, cold regions globally such observations are still very sparse. There is a need to expand integrated observation and prediction systems, as exemplified by the activities at many of the CCRN WECC observatories.

Another critical issue relates, in part, to long-term data acquisition and organization. Climate monitoring and observation are key to understanding its variability and trends, and for providing input to land-surface and hydrological models, yet this is a major challenge in cold regions. Forcing data remains one of the largest source of uncertainty for historical simulations. In Canada, and especially in its alpine and northern regions, there is a sparse observational network, with problems related to station automation and major challenges associated with the measurement of solid $P$ (Rasmussen et al., 2012), thus requiring high priority to expanding the network and to better measuring snowfall (Bush and Lemmen, 2019).

Modelling across spatial scales is advantageous for more fully examining hydrological change. Fine-scale and detailed process-based models yield important insights into interactions and feedbacks but are often applied over small domains such as intensively studied headwater research basins, and for experimental research purposes. Large-scale models can be used with careful selection of possible scenarios to quantify likely effects of future change over broad regions and large river basins but typically have coarser resolution and less detailed treatment of physical processes. These
simpler large-scale models tend to be used in operational forecasting systems. The CRHM and MESH modelling platforms provide a unique capability to represent the complex, energy-dominated processes that control cold-region hydrology. While further work is underway on scenario analysis, there are also continuing needs for the development of flexible and robust models with the capability to capture cold-region processes and bridge scales from local to regional to large basin scale. This will form the next generation of advanced modelling and prediction tools, harnessing the increasingly available computational power.

Finally, these developments need to be informed by new transdisciplinary science and collaboration (Wheater and Gober, 2015). A major challenge among water researchers is the fragmented approach to addressing these issues, which are complex and transcend disciplines among natural sciences, engineering, and computer science. Social science also has a key role, particularly with regards to engaging user and stakeholder communities in the co-development of research and in using more effective mechanisms to translate new scientific knowledge into societal action.
Appendix A: Developing future land cover maps for hydrological modelling

This Appendix describes our approach to generating future land cover scenarios for hydrological modelling, based on observational and modelling studies, and expert elicitation. The scenarios were developed for use in the MESH hydrological model to address this question: what is the potential for vegetation changes to affect 21st century streamflow in the Saskatchewan and Mackenzie River Basins? The approach generated future scenarios by applying a realistic change signal to the current MESH land cover map.

The change signal was derived from a Random Forest classification tree (RFCT) (Rehfeldt et al., 2012), using an updated analysis from 2017. The RFCT products included a base land cover map that was used to represent 2005, and projected maps for 2025, 2055 and 2085 based on climate scenarios from RCP8.5. Before computing the change signal, the RFCT vegetation classes were aggregated into nine land cover types that could be easily related to the MESH plant functional types (PFTs); the RFCT grid was mapped onto the MESH grid (0.125 x 0.125°); the land cover fractions were computed for each MESH grid square; and the 2025 and 2055 maps were averaged to represent 2040. The vegetation change signal was then computed for each land cover type as the difference in the fractional cover between the projected and base maps (2040 minus 2005 and 2085 minus 2005).

The RFCT analysis did not include four of the MESH PFTs (Wetlands, Water, Ice, or Urban). Consequently, it was necessary to limit the changes in fractional coverage to seven CLASS PFTs (Deciduous Broadleaf Forest (DBF), Evergreen Needleleaf Forest (ENF), Mixedwood Forest (MWF, SK Basin only), Cropland, Grassland, Shrubland, Tundra, and Barren). The Shrubland and Tundra PFTs were identical to Grassland except for height and leaf area index. In addition, the RFCT represented prairie Grassland and Cropland as one vegetation class, so that it was not possible to represent changes due to competition between the two.

The resulting unmodified RFCT change signals for 2005 to 2040 and 2005 to 2085 represent the land cover changes that would be expected if climate was the only factor limiting vegetation migration. In reality, vegetation migration is also limited by the rates of colonization, and in some cases, by additional constraints such as the need for wildfire as a trigger. We used expert knowledge to eliminate unrealistic changes from the RFCT change signal, retaining only changes that were deemed to be plausible over the 21st century. The plausible changes are listed in Table A1, with associated conditions and constraints. For land cover changes that normally occur only after wildfire (ENF to Grassland and ENF to DBF, Table A1), the analysis added two further constraints. The area burned was estimated assuming a prescribed fire-return interval which varied with latitude (Table A2). The resulting constrained change signal represented the maximum plausible change for each land cover type.

Finally, 2040 and 2085 projections of the MESH land cover map were created by applying the change signal to the current MESH land cover base maps. The main changes included

- to the south and west, a northward and upward (elevational) shift in the forest–grassland ecotone in response to
- land clearing for agriculture (Cropland expansion into DBF, using the presence of DBF to indicate soils that were suitable for agriculture);
- partial replacement of ENF by Grassland and Shrubland following wildfire;
- within the contiguous forest, wildfire-induced partial replacement of ENF by DBF;
- at the northern and alpine tree line, displacement of Shrubland by ENF in areas where ENF is already present; and
- above the northern and alpine tree lines, Shrubland expansion into Tundra.

The strategy of applying a RFCT change signal to the current land cover map, with modifications based on constraints from expert knowledge, has several advantages over using the RFCT projections directly. It anchors the projections to the current land cover map, potentially increasing their realism. It eliminates changes that are implausible over the modelling time frame (21st century). It integrates wildfire as a trigger for changes that most often occur after fire. It also preserves the characteristic patchiness of the Boreal Forest mosaic. Note that the resulting land cover projections are intended for use in hydrological modelling only; at best, they represent an informed guess of the likely changes. Caution is advised against using them in other applications.
Table A1. Projecting future changes in the MESH land cover map over the 21st century: changes in the MESH plant functional types (PFTs); changes in the RFCT land covers that were used to identify areas of change; and the associated conditions and constraints. The changes were implemented separately for each MESH grid square, when all three necessary conditions (1–3) and the associated constraints were met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Necessary conditions</th>
<th>Projected</th>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>% area conversion</th>
<th>% area conversion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural expansion into Aspen Parkland and southern Boreal MWF/DBF</td>
<td>Aspen Parkland or Boreal MWF</td>
<td>Great Plains Grassland</td>
<td>DBF</td>
<td>Cropland</td>
<td>80 % conversion; 20 % retained as DBF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encroachment of Aspen Parkland into southern Boreal MWF/DBF</td>
<td>Boreal MWF</td>
<td>Aspen Parkland</td>
<td>DBF or MWF</td>
<td>50 %</td>
<td>Cropland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encroachment of Aspen Parkland into southern Boreal ENF</td>
<td>Boreal MWF</td>
<td>Aspen Parkland</td>
<td>ENF</td>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>50 % conversion; 50 % retained as ENF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-fire replacement of ENF by Grassland near forest–Grassland ecotone</td>
<td>Aspen Parkland or Boreal MWF</td>
<td>Great Plains Grassland</td>
<td>ENF</td>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>Limited to burned area; conversion rate from 75 % in the varying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-fire replacement of ENF by DBF in Boreal ENF</td>
<td>Boreal MWF (no change)</td>
<td>ENF</td>
<td>DBF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encroachment of ENF into Shrubland at tree line</td>
<td>Mixed ENF and Shrubland</td>
<td>ENF</td>
<td>Grassland or shrub</td>
<td>ENF</td>
<td>Some ENF already present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland expansion into Tundra</td>
<td>Tundra or barren</td>
<td>Boreal MWF or ENF or mixed ENF/Shrubland or Shrubland</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
<td>Shrubland</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tundra expansion into barren</td>
<td>Barren</td>
<td>ENF or mixed ENF/Shrubland or Shrubland or Tundra</td>
<td>Barren</td>
<td>Tundra</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2. CCRN expert-guided north–south gradients in the post-fire conversion of ENF to DBF in the contiguous Boreal and Taiga Forest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Fire return interval (years)</th>
<th>ENF fraction burned in 45 years</th>
<th>Conversion rate</th>
<th>Fraction converted from ENF to DBF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North (63° N)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>31 %</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid (58° N)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>36 %</td>
<td>50 %</td>
<td>18 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South (53° N)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>43 %</td>
<td>75 %</td>
<td>32 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Data availability.** Data are available through the cited sources throughout the text. WECC data from the CCRN study period are described and accessible within a special issue of the journal *Earth System Science Data*, titled *Water, ecosystem, cryosphere, and climate data from the interior of Western Canada and other cold regions* (https://essd.copernicus.org/articles/special_issue901.html, last access: 6 April 2021) (DeBeer et al., 2021). CCRN data are also accessible through the network website (http://www.ccrnetwork.ca/, last access: 6 April 2021) (CCRN, 2021) and the Global Water Futures program website (http://www.globalwaterfutures.ca, last access: 6 April 2021) (GWF, 2021).
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